



Planetary Physics (10 points)

General note: if student got the correct answer and the solution is physically and mathematically correct, all the points should be given.

Part A. Mid-ocean ridge (5.0 points)

A.1 (0.8 pt.)	Equating the two column pressures, $\rho gh = \rho_{oil} gh'$	0.2
	Subtracting the two opposing forces due to different fluids, $F_x = F_1 - F_0$	0.1
	Finding the two forces, $F_0 = \frac{\rho_0 gh^2 w}{2}$ and $F_1 = \frac{\rho_{oil} gh'^2 w}{2}$ if only one out of two formulae is correct	0.3 0.2
	Final expression, $F_x = \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_{oil}} - 1 \right) \frac{\rho_0 gh^2 w}{2}$	0.2
A.2 (0.6 pt.)	Understanding that all three dimensions change according to the same law	0.1
	New volume, $V = V_1 \left(1 - k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)^3$ or $V \approx V_1 \left(1 - 3k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)$	0.1
	New density, $\rho(T) = \rho_1 \left(1 - k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)^{-3}$ or $\rho(T) \approx \rho_1 \left(1 + 3k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)$	0.1
	Applying binomial/Taylor expansion at any stage of the solution	0.1
	Final answer $\rho(T) \approx \rho_1 \left(1 + 3k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)$, $k = 3k_l$	0.2
Using pro- portionality relations	$\rho \propto l^{-3}$	0.2
	$l^{-3} \propto \left(1 - k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)^{-3} \approx \left(1 + 3k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)$	0.2
	Final answer $\rho(T) \approx \rho_1 \left(1 + 3k_l \frac{T_1 - T}{T_1 - T_0} \right)$, $k = 3k_l$	0.2



A.3 (1.1 pt.)	Equating the two column pressures, $p(0, h + D) - p(0, 0) = p(\infty, h + D) - p(\infty, 0)$	0.2
	Column pressure at $x = 0$, $\rho_1 g(h + D)$	0.1
	Column pressure far away, $p(\infty, h + D) - p(\infty, 0) = \rho_0 g h + \int_h^{h+D} \rho(T(\infty, z)) g dz$	0.2
	Concluding that far away $\frac{dT}{dz} = \text{const.}$ if this is used but not explicitly stated – full marks	0.2
	Obtaining $T(z) = T_0 + (T_1 - T_0) \frac{z-h}{D}$.	0.2
	Final answer, $D = \frac{2}{k} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1}\right) h$	0.2
A.4 (1.6 pt.)	Writing the force as a difference between force at the centre of the ridge and that at infinity marks allotted as long as subtraction of forces or pressures at correct x values is written	0.5
	Correct pressure integration range $[z_1, z_2]$, where $z_1 \leq 0$ and $z_2 \geq h + D$	0.2
	Pressure at the ridge axis, $p(0, z) = p(0, 0) + \rho_1 g z$	0.1
	Pressure at infinity formula in the ocean, $p(\infty, 0) + \rho_0 g z$ formula in the crust, $p(\infty, 0) + \rho_0 g h + \int_{z'=h}^z \rho_1 \left(1 + k \frac{T_1 - T_0 - (T_1 - T_0) \frac{z'-h}{D}}{T_1 - T_0}\right) g dz'$	0.4 0.1 0.3
	Final answer, $F \approx \frac{2g L h^2}{3k} (\rho_1 - \rho_0) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1}\right)$	0.4
A.5 (0.9 pt.)	Stated variables on which the answer is going to depend: ρ_1, c, κ, D	0.2
	Correct dimensions of c , $L^2 T^{-2} \Theta^{-1}$	0.1
	Correct dimensions of κ , $M L T^{-3} \Theta^{-1}$ partial credit for writing down a correct law that can be used to find this, e.g. $\frac{dP}{dS} = \kappa \frac{dT}{dz}$, where P is the power conducted and S is the area of the surface across which the power is transferred	0.2 0.1
	Obtaining the simultaneous equations	0.2
	Final answer $\tau \approx \frac{c \rho_1 D^2}{\kappa}$ or $\tau = A \frac{c \rho_1 D^2}{\kappa}$	0.2



Part B. Seismic waves in a stratified medium (5.0 points)

B.1 (1.5 pt.)		
Method 1	Using the law of refraction in a stratified medium, $n(0) \sin \theta_0 = n(z) \sin \theta$	0.5
	Definition of the refractive index, $n(z) \propto \frac{1}{v(z)}$	0.1
	Identifying that at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z = R - R \sin \theta_0$	0.3
	Finding $R = \frac{z_0}{\sin \theta_0}$	0.3
	Final answer, $x_1(\theta_0) = 2z_0 \cot \theta_0$ $x_1(\theta_0) = -2z_0 \cot \theta_0$ is also correct if the alternative definition of θ_0 was used	0.3
Method 2	Using the law of refraction in a stratified medium, $n(0) \sin \theta_0 = n(z) \sin \theta$	0.5
	Definition of the refractive index, $n(z) \propto \frac{1}{v(z)}$	0.1
	Obtaining the relation between dz and $d\theta$, $\frac{dz}{z_0} \sin \theta_0 = \cos \theta d\theta$	0.3
	Showing that ray path is an arc of a circle of radius $\frac{z_0}{\sin \theta_0}$ alternatively, obtaining the differential equation for $\frac{dx}{d\theta}$	0.3 0.3
	Final answer, $x_1(\theta_0) = 2z_0 \cot \theta_0$ $x_1(\theta_0) = -2z_0 \cot \theta_0$ is also correct if the alternative definition of θ_0 was used	0.3
B.2 (1.5 pt.)		
	Realizing that $\frac{E}{\pi}$ is the energy emitted per unit angle	0.4
	The idea to track an infinitesimal fraction of rays and relating an infinitesimal initial ray angle to the infinitesimal change in their destination, $d\theta_0 = \frac{d\theta_0}{dx} dx$	0.3
	Applying the result of B.1 to obtain $\frac{dx}{d\theta_0} = -b(A + x^2)$	0.3
	Final answer, $\varepsilon(x) = \frac{E}{\pi b(A^2 + x^2)} = \frac{E}{\pi(4z_0^2 + x^2)}$	0.1
	Plotting $\varepsilon(x)$	0.4
	maximum is at $x = 0$ and is of order $\frac{E}{A} \approx \frac{E}{z_0}$	0.1
	$\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$	0.1
	$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} = 0$ at $x = 0$ no stationary points at $x \neq 0$	0.1 0.1



B.3 (2.0 pt.)	The zone overlap condition, $N = \frac{x_1(\theta_0)}{x_- - x_+}$ considering the change in the zone width, $x_1\left(\theta_0 - \frac{\delta\theta_0}{2}\right) - x_1\left(\theta_0 + \frac{\delta\theta_0}{2}\right)$	1.0
		0.4
	Writing $x_- - x_+$ in terms of $\frac{dx_1}{d\theta_0}$	0.4
	Differentiating the result of B.1	0.2
	$x_{\max} = Nx_1(\theta_0)$	0.3
	Final answer, $x_{\max} = \frac{Ab \cos^2(b\theta_0)}{\delta\theta_0} = \frac{2z_0 \cos^2 \theta_0}{\delta\theta_0}$.	0.1